IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl.Misc. No.29199-M of 2007
Date of Decision:- 08.05.2007

Kusum Sharma & anr. ....Petitioner(s)

through
Mr.A.P.Bhandari, Advocate

vs.

State of Haryana & ors. ....Respondent(s)

***

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

***

1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2) To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

***

SURYA KANT, J. (ORAL)

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 at this stage.

Mr.Tarun Aggarwal, learned Sr.DAG, Haryana accepts notice.

Having regard to the vague and evasive allegations made against respondents No.4 to 6, there appears to be no need to issue notice of motion to them at this stage.

The petitioners claim that they were born on 22.8.1984 and 3.8.1984 respectively and are, thus, major. They have further averred that both of them are duly employed and having developed liking for each other, got married on 4.5.2007. It is further averred that since the marriage has been performed by petitioner No.1 against the wishes of her father and other family members, namely, respondents No.4 to 6, they were apprehending their involvement in some false case.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the plea taken by the petitioner that they were living together as husband

and wife, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the Superintendent of Police, Panchkula as well as SHO of the Police Station concerned as also the Sr. Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners.

May 08, 2007 **(SURYA KANT)**
poonam **JUDGE**